A high-level Inter-Ministerial Committee was constituted in November 2017 to study the issues related to virtual currencies and propose actions to be taken. The Committee submitted its report on February 28, 2019 and the report was released in public domain on July 22, 2019. The mandate of the Committee included examining the policy and legal framework for regulation of virtual currencies. Key observations and recommendations of the Committee include:

- **Virtual currencies**: Virtual currency is a digitally tradable form of value, which can be used as a medium of exchange or acts as a store of value or a unit of account. It does not have the status of a legal tender. A legal tender is guaranteed by the central government and all parties are legally bound to accept it as a mode of payment.

- **Cryptocurrency**: Cryptocurrency is a specific type of virtual currency, which is decentralised and protected by cryptographic encryption techniques. Decentralisation implies that there is no central authority where records of transactions are maintained. Instead, transaction data is recorded and shared across multiple distributor networks, through independent computers. This technology is known as Distributed Ledger Technology.

- **Issues related to virtual currencies**: The Committee observed that cryptocurrencies cannot replace traditional currencies due to several issues associated with them. These include: (i) cryptocurrencies are subjected to market fluctuations. For instance, the value of Bitcoin cryptocurrency reduced from around USD 20,000 (December’17) to USD 3,800 (November’18) in less than a year; (ii) cryptocurrencies are decentralised, which makes them difficult to regulate; (iii) cryptocurrency design have several vulnerabilities which leave consumers open to risk of phishing attacks and Ponzi schemes. Further, transactions are irreversible, meaning there is no way to redress wrong transactions; (iv) cryptocurrencies require large amounts of storage and processing power, which can have unfavourable consequences on country’s energy resources; and (v) cryptocurrencies provide greater anonymity making them more vulnerable to money-laundering and terrorist funding activities.

- **Regulatory framework around the world**: The Committee observed that different regulatory frameworks are followed in different countries with respect to cryptocurrencies. Countries such as Japan, Switzerland and Thailand allow use of cryptocurrencies as a mode of payment. In Russia, they can be used as a mode of exchange (barter exchange), but not for payments. On the other hand, China has a complete ban on virtual currencies. The Committee observed that no country has allowed use of any virtual currency as legal tender.

- **Official Digital Currency**: The Committee observed that an official digital currency can have several advantages over the existing payment mechanisms. These include availability of all records of transactions, cheaper alternative for cross-border payments and ease and safety of distribution. The Committee noted that there are several risks and issues associated with its implementation as well. Significant infrastructure investment would be required to issue a digital currency. Validating transactions in a distributed network would involve high electricity consumption and require high computation power. Further, there could be infrastructural challenges on account of electricity outages and internet connectivity.

- **Applications of Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT)**: The Committee observed that while cryptocurrencies do not offer any advantage as a currency, the underlying technology (DLT) has several potential applications. DLT makes it easier to identify duplicate transactions, and therefore can be utilised for fraud-detection, processing KYC requirements, and claim management for insurance. Further, it can be helpful for removing errors, frauds in land markets, if used for maintaining land records.

- **The Committee recommended**: The Department of Economic Affairs should identify uses of DLT and take measures to facilitate its usage. Similarly, financial sector regulators should examine the utility of the technology in their respective fields.
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